

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 14-1086V

Filed: March 25, 2015

[REDACTED]

Petitioner,

SECRETARY OF HEALTH
AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

Damages Decision Based on Proffer;
Influenza or Flu Vaccine;
Shoulder Injury Related to Vaccine
Administration; SIRVA; Special
Processing Unit

*Alison Haskins, Esq., Maglio Christopher and Toale, PA, Sarasota, FL for petitioner.
Linda Renzi, Esq., U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC for respondent.*

DECISION AWARDING DAMAGES¹

Vowell, Chief Special Master:

On November 7, 2014, [REDACTED] filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² [the “Vaccine Act” or “Program”]. Petitioner alleges that she suffered a shoulder injury caused by the influenza vaccination she received on September 30, 2013.³ The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

On March 19, 2015, I issued a ruling on entitlement, finding petitioner entitled to compensation for her shoulder injury related to vaccine administration [“SIRVA”]. On March 25, 2015, respondent filed a proffer on award of compensation [“Proffer”] indicating petitioner should be awarded \$115,000.00 representing “all elements of

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I intend to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, § 205, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all “§” references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2006).

³ Petition at 1, 3. Although the petition is titled “‘On-Table’ Petition for Compensation,” petition alleges her injuries are causally related to her vaccination.

compensation under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a) to which petitioner would be entitled.” Proffer at 1. Respondent avers that petitioner is a competent adult, proof of guardianship is not required, and petitioner agrees to this proposed amount. *Id.*

Pursuant to the terms stated in the attached Proffer, **I award petitioner a lump sum payment of \$115,000.00 in the form of a check payable to petitioner, [REDACTED]**. This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under § 300aa-15(a).

The clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this decision.⁴

s/Denise K. Vowell
Denise K. Vowell
Chief Special Master

⁴ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by each party filing a notice renouncing the right to seek review.